



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,538	08/05/2003		John Campbell Woodard	DUMMER4.1C1C1	6048	
20995	7590	03/31/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE N 2040 MAIN		IS OLSON & BEA	MANUEL, GEORGE C			
FOURTEEN)R		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614			3762		
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/31/2006	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Total MalLing DaTe of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
## Examiner ## Art Unit ## 3762 **The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Examiner** **Examiner** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Examiner** **Examiner** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Examiner** **Examiner** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Examiner** **Examiner** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS SET OF THIS SOME STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS SET		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
George Manuel		10/634,538	WOODARD ET AL.					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time myte seavible under the precisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply appelled above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply appelled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will reply state (50) MONTHS from the raining date of this communication. False to reply appelled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will reply state (50) MONTHS from the raining date of this communication. False to reply apply and the set or extended precipit with by statutory period will apply and will reply state. (SIM DNTHS from the raining date) False to reply within the set or extended precipit with the maining date of the communication, and the communication. False to reply apply and the set or extended precipit with the maining date of the communication, and the communication. False to reply apply and the set or extended precipit with the maining date of the communication, and the communication. False to reply apply and the set or extended precipit with the practice and the communication. False to reply apply and the set or extended precipit with the practice and the communication. False to reply apply and the set or extended precipit with the practice and the communication. False to reply apply and the set or extended precipit with the practice and the communication. False to reply apply and the set or extended precipit with the practice and the communication. False to reply apply and the set of the communication. False to reply apply and the set of the communication. False to reply apply and the set of the communication. False to reply apply and the set of the communication. False to	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Examination of time may be available under the periodinic of 37 CFR 1.136(d). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - Examination of time may be available under the periodinic of 37 CFR 1.136(d). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - Examination of time may be available under the periodinic of 37 CFR 1.136(d). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - If No period for reply specified abover, the maximum stabulory period will apply and will exple SIX (d) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply specified abover, the maximum stabulory period will apply and will exple SIX (d) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce evry search periodic and p								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (5) MODITIS from the making date of this communication. If the provision of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(s), which has fablicate minimum of this; (50) MODITIS from the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply supplied above, the maximum stations provided highly and will retains 15(t) MODITIS from the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or extended path of the more date of the making date of the score was a communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or extended path of the making date of this communication, even if the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or extended path of the making date of this communication, even if the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or extended path of the making date of this communication, even if the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or extended path of the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or extended path of the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or reply whithin the path of the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or reply whithin the path of the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or reply and white and the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or reply and white and the making date of this communication. Fallwork or reply whithin the sol or reply reply and will always a reply and white and the path of the path								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2-18 and 20-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-19 and 20-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 2-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 2-16 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 4 Depart Neis/Mail Colae. 4 Depart Neis/Mail Colae. 5 Depart Neis/Mail Colae. 5 Depart Neis/Mail Colae. 5 Depart Neis/Mail Colae. 5 Depart Neis/Mail Colae.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-18 and 20-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Palerian (PTO-143) Paper Ne(s)/Mail Date	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-18 and 20-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2004							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)								
4)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing shee(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Onlinformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-7,15-18 and 20-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are objected to. 							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		4) 🔲 Interview Summarv	(PTO-413)					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the elements of claim 19 that has been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 2-7, 15, 21, 22, 25, 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Nakazeki et al. '357.

Nakazeki et al disclose a hydrodynamically suspended impeller 22. The housing shown in Fig. 11B provides a stator assembly. Permanent magnet 24 is in impeller 22 and permanent magnet 14 in rotor 12 supports the radial direction and driving of impeller 22. Fig. 14A shows the blades 224 and 225 supported in a somewhat conical position.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,538 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant's remarks that Nakazeki et al does not disclose a hydrodynamically suspended impeller 22 appears contrary to the teachings of Nakazeki et al. See col. 4, lines 17-24. Further, the fluid viscosity changes the characteristics of the magnetically suspended type pump. The feature of magnetic suspension does not preclude hydrodynamic suspension. Also, see coll. 5, lines 5-22. More specifically, if fluid viscosity C changes, displacement of impeller 22 caused by disturbance also varies, and therefore, viscosity can be obtained from the impeller displacement.

Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Khanwilkar et al '180.

Khanwilkar et al disclose a hydrodynamically suspended impeller 21 and a three phase brushless DC motor 40.

Applicant's remarks contend there is no hydrodynamic bearing attached to the impeller disclosed in the Khanwilkar et al reference. Claims 24 and 26 do not claim a hydrodynamic bearing limitation.

With respect to claim 26, Fig. 6B shows a stator 80 wherein a portion is aligned at generally 45 degrees to an axis of rotation of the impeller. Further, a stator that is generally parallel to the axis of rotation of an impeller does not preclude a portion being aligned at generally 45 degrees to an axis of rotation of the impeller.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3762

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khanwilkar et al '180 in view Nose et al '730.

Khanwilkar et al '180 show all of the claimed features except for a ceramic housing and coating using a biocompatible film.

Nose et al teach:

"With regard to the pivots 104 and 105 and the pivot bearings 106 and 107, it is desirable that both the pivots and the pivot bearings are made of a ceramic. However, it is also within the scope of the present invention that either the pivots or the pivot bearings are made of a ceramic and the others are made of metal, such as a titanium alloy, for example. Further, it is also an alternative to have the pivots or the pivot bearings made of metal but coated with a ceramic on the surface of the metal." See col. 8, line 66 to col. 9, line 24.

Further, "since silicon carbide contains carbon, it is superior in sliding performance. For these reasons, silicon carbide is best suited." See col. 7, lines 12-42.

Using the teaching of Nose et al one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to form the housing of Khanwilkar et al of ceramic and coat it using a biocompatible film.

Regarding Applicant's remarks that Khanwilkar nor Nose discloses a hydrodynamically suspended impeller or hydrodynamic bearings attached to the impeller is incorrect. The feature of magnetic suspension not precluding hydrodynamic suspension applies also to the Khanwilkar et al reference. See col. 5, lines 7-28. "Permanent magnets without some form of additional support cannot entirely suspend

Art Unit: 3762

an object, such as an impeller, but require additional adjustable support or force in some axis to achieve stabilized suspension." It is clear from the disclosure that one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious that the fluid being pumped by impeller 21 provides hydrodynamic suspension to the impeller that requires fine positioning by using the electric activation coils. Claims 16-18 and 23 do not contain a limitation of hydrodynamic bearings attached to the impeller.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (703) 308-2118.

George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762

3/29/05